Field Offi	ce	 		

Reviewer	 
Reviewer_	 

	Page 1 of 5
0.1 Strengths and Successes	
0.2 Wl	
0.2 Weaknesses and Needs	
0.3 Recommended Actions	

		Page 2
Requested DOE Actions	Actions Taken	Qualitative Thoroughness of Actions
1.1.1 Establish a Chemical Approval Process		
1.1.2 Reassess Existing Vulnerabilities:	Refers to published vulnerability reports. Fill in only those that apply to the site. Indicate significant vulnerabilities, if open (hazard still exists, even if mitigated) or closed (hazard removed from site); for open vulnerabilities, note whether a plan and schedule to close exists.	
Chemical		
Plutonium		

		Page 3
Requested DOE Actions	Actions Taken	Qualitative Thoroughness of Actions
High Enrichment Uranium		
Spent Fuel		
Tomsk - red oil		
1.1.3 Assess DOE Technical Competenceimplement training if needed		
1.1.4 Assess Lessons Learned and Occurrence Reporting		

Field Office_		
Entity		

Page 4

D 16		Fage 4
Requested Contractor Actions	Actions Taken	Qualitative Thoroughness of Actions
1.2.1 Review use and storage of chemicals		
1.2.2 Dispose of unneeded chemicals		
1.2.3 Evaluation of new vulnerabilities on a continuing basis		
1.2.4 Assess technical competence-implement training if needed		

Field Office_	
Entity	

Page 5

	<b>,</b>	Page 5
Requested Actions	Actions Taken	Qualitative Thoroughness of Actions
2.0 August 27,1997 Peña lessons learned memo. Indicate existence of emergency planning status in year-end report.		Not in review scope.
3.1 Identify and characterize waste storage tanks*		
*Provide actions to complete evaluations and appropriate corrective actions.		
3.2 Understand all possible waste storage chemical reactions*		
*Provide actions to complete evaluations and appropriate corrective actions.		
3.3 Provide notification on tanks posing or having unaddressed hazards		